

Thank you to all of our 2017 sponsors:







Partial Funding Provided by Province of Ontario

TRIECA Conference (Thursday March 23, 2017 1:30 – 2 pm)

Development of an LID and Urban Water Balance Modeling Tool

Presenters: Steve Auger, M.Sc., P.Eng., LSRCA Chris Davidson, P.Eng., Golder Associates Ltd.











Presentation Outline

- Direction and Evaluating Need
- LID Treatment Train Tool Overview
- Example User Setup and Results
- Next Steps
- Q & A





Lake Simcoe Region conservation authority









"Going forward, the Ministry expects that stormwater management plans...will employ LID in order to maintain the natural hydrologic cycle to the greatest extent possible."

- Interpretation Bulletin from MOECC February 2015











Need For Tool

- Volume control
- Water quality
- Water balance

















- The BC Water Balance Model
- EPA-SWMM
- EPA National Stormwater Calculator
- HydroTrek
- WinSLAMM
- MIDS GUI Credit Calculator
- GSI-Calc











Program Comparison

	Short Listed Models							
Model Characteristic / Functionality	Water Balance Model	EPA SWMM	HydroTrek	MIDS				
Hydrodynamic model type	N/A	One-dimensional	One-dimensional	N/A				
Water quantity calculator	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Water quality calculator	No	Yes	No	Yes				
Continuous modeling	Yes	Yes	Yes	No				
Single event modeling	No	Yes	Yes	No				
Dynamic flow routing	No	Yes	No	N/A				
Unsteady flow	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A				
Input complexity	Medium	High	Low	Low				
# of LIDs represented	Low	Med	Med	Med				
Input for LID practice/adaptability	Minimal	Detailed	Minimal	Minimal				











- Tailored to Ontario Climate & Geology
- Routing for Flows and Volumes
- Estimate Water Budget
- BMPs, End-of-Pipe, and Treatment Train
- User friendly GUI, Open-Source
- Generate Outputs for Local Targets











LID Treatment Train Tool for Ontario

Purpose:

A conceptual design tool to help developers, consultants, municipalities and landowners implement sustainable storm water management practices.

Capabilities:

Quantify runoff volumes reduction, pollutant load removal, and providing a preliminary pre and post development water budget evaluation by implementing Best Management Practices (BMPs) and Low Impact Development techniques.























Minimum System Requirements

- Internet Access for Initial Download
- Windows OS (7, 8,10)
- I GHz and 1-2 GB RAM (32-bit or 64-bit)
- 200 MB available hard disk space
- Site Plan and Excel (Optional)
- Includes latest version of SWMM5 (5.1.010)
- No installation required (runs off .exe file)











Project Creation









Golder



Project Creation

Scenario	LID LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT TREATMENT TRAIN TOOL
Design Storm vs. Annual Average	Scenario Pre development Location Mississauga Storm type Average Annual Too Custom Precipitation Time Series Upload file Download Model Avarage Annual Download Model Storm Evert Upload file Download Model Storm Evert Download Model Storm Eve
Sitemap	Upload image Minimum recommended image size: 1024px'768px. Extentions allowed: jpg. jpeg. png. gif.



Lake Simcoe Region conservation authority







Project Creation













Step 1: Pre Development











Step 1: Pre-Development













Land Cover	TSS (mg/L)	TP (mg/L)
Paved surface	84	0.16
Roof	8	0.05
Landscaped Area	70	0.20
Row Crop	100	0.20
Open Space/Parkland	29	0.20
Forest	55	0.20
Wetland	38.5	0.10

Preliminary values









Step 2: Post-Development











Step 2: Post-Development











Step 3: Iterative LID Design













Step 3 (Cont.): Removal Efficiencies

LID	TSS Removal Efficiency %	TP Removal Efficiency %	lcon	Storage	TSS Removal Efficiency %	TP Removal Efficiency %	lcon
Bioretention	75	25		Constructed Wetland	80	60	
Green Roof	0	-45	44 	Wet Retention Ponds	80	60	
Infiltration /	75	60	<u>444</u>	Dry Detention Ponds	60	20	
Exfiltration Systems				Junction	TSS Removal Efficiency %	TP Removal Efficiency %	lcon
Exfiltration Systems Permeable Pavement	75	60		Junction Specializaed Phosphorus Media Filter	TSS Removal Efficiency %	TP Removal Efficiency %	Icon
Exfiltration Systems Permeable Pavement Vegetated Filter Strips / Buffer Strips	75	60 20		Junction Specializaed Phosphorus Media Filter Sand or Media Filter	TSS Removal Efficiency % 75 75	TP Removal Efficiency % 70 40	Icon











Tool Results – Runoff Volume Control Target

LID LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT TREATMENT TRAIN TOOL		
Design Storm Performance Goal Requirement		
Runoff Volume Control Target (mm)	25	
Stormwater Volume Reduction Target (m ³)	3,550	
Stormwater Volume Reduction Provided (m ³)	2,065	
Stormwater Volume Reduction Met (Y/N)?	No	
4		











Tool Results – Water Budget Comparison

LID LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT TREATMENT TRAIN TOOL								
Water Budget (mn	n): Comparison							
Site	Rainfall	Evapo- transpiration	Infiltration	Runoff				
Pre- development	753.2	543.1	140.2	41.3				
Post- development	753.2	288.3	155.8	277.3				
Difference	0	-254.8	+15.6	+236.0				
% Difference	0	-47%	+11%	+571%				











Tool Results – Post Dev. TSS Loading

			Incomming			Book	Outgoing			
Feature (Removal%)	LID Type	% Removal	Total Flow (m³)	Concentration (mg/L)	Total Load (kg)	Outflow (m ³ /s)	Total Flow (m³)	Concentration (mg/L)	Total Load (mg/L)	
201 Forest			-			0.010	130	55	7	
DICB			130	55	7	0.006	130	55	7	
Pipe1			130	55	7	0.006	130	55	7	
203 Building			-		- 2	0.160	17,060	8	136	
204 Bioswale (75%)	Bioswale	75%	18,568	10	182	0.040	650	2	2	
202 Parking A			-	-		0.220	22,990	81	1,867	
Dry Pond (60%)	Dry Detention Pond	60%	23,770	79	1,876	0.030	20,768	32	655	
Outlet 1			20,768	32	655	0.030	20,768	32	655	
205 Parking B				-		0.180	18,440	81	1,497	
Outfall_1			39,380	55	2,153	0.187		-		











Tool Results – Post Dev. TP Loading

			Incomming			Peak	Outgoing			
Feature (Removal%)	LID Type	% Removal	Total Flow (m ³)	Concentration (mg/L)	Total Load (kg)	Outflow (m³/s)	Total Flow (m³)	Concentration (mg/L)	Total Load (mg/L)	
201 Forest			-	-	-	0.010	130	0.20	0.03	
DICB			130	0.20	0.03	0.006	130	0.20	0.03	
Pipe1			130	0.20	0.03	0.006	130	0.20	0.03	
203 Building						0.160	17,060	0.05	0.85	
204 Bioswale (25%)	Bioswale	25%	18,568	0.05	0.93	0.040	650	0.04	0.02	
202 Parking A				-		0.220	22,990	0.15	3.49	
Dry Pond (20%)	Dry Detention Pond	20%	23,770	0.15	3.54	0.030	20,768	0.12	2.48	
Outlet 1			20,768	0.12	2.48	0.030	20,768	0.12	2.48	
205 Parking B			-	-	-	0.180	18,440	0.15	2.80	
Outfall_1			39,380	0.13	5.28	0.187		-	-	











Tool Results – LID/BMP Summary

			Flow		TSS			ТР			
BMP	Drawdown Time (hrs)	Effective VP Ratio	Flow In (m3)	Flow Out (m3)	Actual % Reduction	Load In (kg)	Load Out (kg)	Actual % Reduction	Load In (kg)	Load Out (kg)	Actual % Reduction
Bioswale	30	12.3	18,568	650	96%	182	2	99%	0.93	0.02	97%
Dry-Pond	-	-	23,770	20,768	13%	1,876	655	65%	3.54	2.48	30%













Tool in SWMM5















- Version 1.0 Scheduled for completion at end of April
- Training Workshops:
- Lake Simcoe Watershed June, 2017
- Toronto and Region Watershed September, 2017
- Credit Valley Watershed
 October or November 2017
- STEP Technical Support







GTA Conservation Authority Project Team:

- Yuestas David, Wilfred Ho, Tim van Seters (TRCA)
- Amanjot Singh, Sakshi Saini (CVC)

Golder Associates Team:

 Melanie Kennedy, Kevin MacKenzie, Bob Murdoch, Massimo Draggan, Ruggero Bonora

Other Contributors:

Rob Baldwin, Ken Cheney, Peng Du, Tom Hogenbirk,

Ben Longstaff, Phil Thase, Mike Walters (LSRCA)

- Sameer Dhalla, Dan Hipple, Jason Tam (TRCA/STEP)
- Ghassan Sabour, Saleh Sebti, Christine Zimmer (CVC)
- John Antoszek, Tim Krsul, Sabrina Ternier (MOECC)



Lake Simcoe Region conservation authority







Partial Funding Provided by Province of Ontario



Thank You - Questions ?













Credit Valley Conservation

